

Appendix 3 – Extract of objections

Philip Hobden, Motorcycle Action Group, London

I object to the removal of the m/c bay & the City should re-consider this. If this is unavoidable an alternative should be found nearby. There are limited m/c bays in the City which are an important lifeline and are cheap to install. M/cs are an alternative to over-crowded public transport and suitable for longer journey when walking is not a solution. The City has found spaces for cycles/scooters why not m/cs? The City could consider extending existing m/c bays.

Anton Shelupanov

I object to the removal of the m/c bay, it is a useful provision for workers/visitors who need to travel into the City. A nearby alternative m/c bays needs to be found to replace the bay to be removed. Walking and public transport is sometimes not an option.

Richard Young

I previously used the m/c bay constantly prior to the covid lockdown. A m/c is an alternative to over-crowded public transport. The City needs to find an alternative location for another m/c bay.

Aaron Nixon

I disapprove the removal of the m/c bay. M/cs are cost effective and time saving alternative to public transport. There is a health risk using public transport. The City needs to find an alternative location for another m/c bay.

Andrew Guy

I object to the removal of the m/c bay. There are too few m/c bays in the City which are heavily used. The City should covert an existing car parking bay to a m/c bay nearby.

Leo Lossifidis

Reconsider the removal of the m/c bay. M/c bays take up minimal space and it is unreasonable if the City is creating spaces for cycles/scooter/cycle lane. An alternative location for a new m/c should be found.

Simon Harris

I object to the proposal. It is a well-used facility for visitors and it is alternative to cars usage and less pollution. It is healthier than using public transport due to covid transmission. The m/c bay should be kept.